## Innovations in Public Transport modelling



#### dr. ir. N. van Oort

Associate professor Public Transport and Shared Mobility

Co-director Smart Public Transport Lab

Delft University of Technology













## Introduction

#### Resume

- Strategic planner urban public transport
- Consultant transport modelling
- PhD Service reliability



 Hands on and academic experiences data and modelling <u>https://www</u>

https://www.tudelft.nl/en/ceg/research/stories-ofscience/putting-public-transport-on-the-right-track





## India experience



![](_page_2_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Challenges in PT industry

#### Main challenges:

- Increasing cost efficiency (higher ridership, lower cost)
- Increasing customer experience, modal shift
- Motivating new strategic investments

Data and models enable achieving objectives

Pragmatic solutions needed

![](_page_3_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Data sources

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

\*De Regt K., Cats O., van Oort N. and van Lint H. (2017). Investigating Potential Transit Ridership by Fusing Smartcard Data and GSM Data. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 2652

^ Wang, Z., A.J. Pel, T. Verma, P. Krishnakumari, P. van Brakel, N. van Oort (2022). <u>Effectiveness of trip planner data in predicting short-term</u> <u>bus ridership</u>, Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, Volume 142.

Van Oort, N. and Cats, O. (2015). Improving public transport decision making, planning and operations by using big data: Cases from Sweden and the Netherlands. 18th IEEE international conference on intelligent transportation systems. Las Palmas, Spain.

![](_page_4_Picture_5.jpeg)

Challenge the future

![](_page_4_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Modelling

**Ridership predictions** 

- To design and optimize planning and operations
- To justify investments
- Transport models: Generally focused on car traffic

![](_page_5_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_7.jpeg)

Heavy and slow

**Excellent** operations

![](_page_6_Picture_3.jpeg)

Challenge the future 7

![](_page_6_Picture_5.jpeg)

->

Heavy and slow

Part 1: Data driven modelling

**Excellent** operations

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

Heavy and slow -> Part 1: Data driven modelling

Excellent operations -> Part 2: Incorporating unreliability

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

Heavy and slow -> Part 1: Data driven modelling

Excellent operations -> Part 2: Incorporating unreliability

#### More modelling research

Multimodality

Crowding impact

https://nielsvanoort.weblog.tudelft.nl/publications/

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Part 1: Data driven predictions

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

Challenge the future 11

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Available tools PT industry

	4 step model				
Modes	Car, public transport, bike				
Scale	National, regional, urban				
Time horizon	10-20 years				
Project type	Strategic, policies, infrastructure changes				
Usage	Modal split, cost- benefit analysis				

Quick-Scan	model
(Spreadsheet)	
Public transport	
Urban	
< 5 years	
·	
Tactical, changing	lines,
frequencies	
Ridership effects	
_	

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Pros/cons 4-step model

Pros	Cons
Extensive	Time consuming
Multimodal	Focused on road traffic
Multi-purpose	Non-intuitive
Suitable for long-term estimations	Rigid separation of modes
New scenarios can be modelled	A lot of input information is required
-	Feedback loops necessary, rarely leading to stability

van Oort et al., 2015; Ortuzar and Willumsen, 2011; Delanoy 2019

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Pros/cons quick scan model

Pros	Cons
Very easy to use	Low level of detail
Fast	Inaccurate
-	Too much relying on assumptions
-	Unimodal

Upchurch and Kuby, 2014; van Oort et al., 2015; Delanoy 2019

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

Loc

## In the middle: Elasticity model

	Multimodal model	Elasticity model	Quick-Scan model		
Modes	Car, public transport, bike	Public transport	Public transport		
Scale	National, regional, urban	Regional, Urban	Urban		
Time horizon	10-20 years	< 10 years	< 5 years		
Project type	Strategic, policies, infrastructure changes	Tactical, changing lines, frequencies, stops	Tactical, changing lines, frequencies		
Usage	Modal split, cost- benefit analysis	Network effect	Ridership effects		

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

## PT modelling

#### Traditional (4-step) model

Multimodal (~PT) Network Complex Long calculation time Visualisation Much data Detailed results

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Short term predictions

Elasticity method based on smartcard data

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Smartcard data

The Netherlands

- OV Chipkaart
- Nationwide (since 2012)
- All modes: train, metro, tram, bus
- Tap in and tap out
- Bus and tram: devices are in the vehicle

#### Data

- 19 million smartcards
- 42 million transactions every week
- Several applications of smartcard data (Pelletier et. al (2011). Transportation Research Part C

Van Oort, N., T. Brands, E. de Romph (2015), Short-Term Prediction of Ridership on Public Transport with Smart Card Data, Transportation Research Record, No. 2535, pp. 105-111.

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_15.jpeg)

## Combining models and smartcard data

#### **Connecting to transport model**

- Evaluating history
- Predicting the future
- Whatif scenario's
  - Stops: removing or adding
  - Faster and higher frequencies
  - Route changes
- Quick insights into
  - Expected cost coverage
  - Expected occupancy

#### New generation of transport models: data driven

Van Oort, N., T. Brands, E. de Romph (2015), Short-Term Prediction of Ridership on Public Transport with Smart Card Data, Transportation Research Record, No. 2535, pp. 105-111.

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_14.jpeg)

Transport Planning Software

![](_page_17_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_17.jpeg)

# Connecting data to transport model (1/4)

1) Importing PT networks (GTFS) (Open data)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Connecting data to transport model (2/4)

2) Importing smartcard data (Closed data)

Chip ID	Check in	Check out	Check in	Check out	Line	(vehicle	(ticket
	stop	stop	time	time	number	number)	type)
1	35	488	10:27	10:52	9		Regular
							single
2	23	86	8:01	8:09	1		Student
2	86	90	8:17	8:55	3		Student
3	73	94	7:20	7:53	4		Annual
							ticket
3	94	73	16:55	17:27	4		Annual
							ticket

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Connecting data to transport model (3/4)

3) Processing and matching

Processing smart card data

- missing check outs
- short trips

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Connecting data to transport model (4/4)

4) Route choice and visualization options of transport model

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

### What if?

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

Challenge the future 23

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

## What if: elasticity approach (1/2)

```
\begin{array}{ll} C_{ij} = \alpha_1 T_{ij} + \alpha_2 W T_{ij} + \alpha_3 N T_{ij} + \alpha_4 F_{ij} \\ With: \\ C_{ij} \\ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \\ T_{ij} \\ WT_{ij} \\ NT_{ij} \\ NT_{ij} \\ F_{ij} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \text{Generalized costs on OD pair } i,j \\ With coefficients in generalized costs calculation \\ n-vehicle travel time on OD pair } i,j \\ With coefficients in generalized costs calculation \\ ND pair } i,j \\ Number of transfers on OD pair } i,j \\ F_{ij} \\ F_{ij} \\ \end{array}
```

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

## What if: elasticity approach (2/2)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Whatif scenarios

### Adjusting

- Speed
- Fares
- Routes
- Frequency

#### Illustrating impacts on (indicators):

- Cost coverage
- Occupancy
- Ridership
- Revenues

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Part 2: Service reliability

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

Challenge the future 27

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Service reliability in demand models

- Traditionally, demand models do not incorporate service reliability of public transport
- New developments
  - Insights in mechanisms vehicle and passenger
  - Data availability
- New research theme

Van Oort (2011) Service reliability and urban public transport design

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

Why?

Enhanced forecasting

- Improved synthetic matrices
- Improved, more realistic assignment
- Less correction by calibration required

Additional application of model

- Insights in passenger effects of change in level of service reliability
- Base for cost benefit analysis

Both evalution and prediction

Network impacts

![](_page_28_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Service reliability

- Match of operations and planning
- Unreliability is caused by variability of operations and/or suboptimal planning
- Unreliability affects passengers:
- Travel time (mainly waiting time)
- Distribution of travel time and arrival time
- Comfort
- Passengers consider service reliability as one of the main quality aspects, but perceive it as insufficient

Van Oort (2011) Service reliability and urban public transport design

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Measuring service reliability

**New focus** Passenger on time?

### **Passenger oriented metrics**

- Average additional travel time per passenger
- St. deviation of travel time
- Enabling quantifying unreliability effects in time and money

Van Oort (2011) Service reliability and urban public transport design

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

## State of the art

- Developed a scientific framework
- Applied in VRU model in Utrecht

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Using AVL and smartcard data
- Using algoritms of PhD Van Oort calculating passenger impacts
- Applying mean variance approach using value of reliability

Van Oort et. al (2014) Incorporating unreliability of transit in transport demand models: theoretical and practical approach

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Three step approach

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Results

- Enhanced skim matrices
- Reduction of overestimation of PT use
- Enhanced route choice within PT

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Wrap up

Heavy and slow -> Part 1: Data driven modelling

Excellent operations -> Part 2: Incorporating unreliability

#### More modelling research

Multimodality

Crowding impact

https://nielsvanoort.weblog.tudelft.nl/publications/

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Questions / Contact /References

- De Regt K., Cats O., van Oort N. and van Lint H. (2017). Investigating Potential Transit Ridership by Fusing Smartcard Data and GSM Data. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 2652
- Van Oort, N. (2011), Service reliability and urban public transport design, T2011/2, TRAIL PhD Thesis Series, Delft
- Van Oort, N.,T. Brands, E. de Romph, J. Flores (2015). <u>Unreliability effects in public transport modelling</u>. *International Journal of Transportation*. 3. 113-130.
- Van Oort, N., T. Brands, E. de Romph (2015), <u>Short-Term Prediction of Ridership on Public Transport with Smart Card Data</u>, *Transportation Research Record*, No. 2535, pp. 105-111.
- Van Oort, N., D. Sparing, T. Brands, R.M.P. Goverde (2015), <u>Data driven improvements in public transport: the Dutch example</u>, *Public Transport*, Vol 7(3), pp.369-389.
- Van Oort, N. and Cats, O. (2015). Improving public transport decision making, planning and operations by using big data: Cases from Sweden and the Netherlands. *18th IEEE international conference on intelligent transportation systems*. Las Palmas, Spain.
- Wang, Z., A.J. Pel, T. Verma, P. Krishnakumari, P. van Brakel, N. van Oort (2022). Effectiveness of trip planner data in predicting

short-term bus ridership, Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, Volume 142.

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

http://smartptlab.tudelft.nl/

![](_page_35_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### http://nielsvanoort.weblog.tudelft.nl/

N.vanOort@TUDelft.nl

![](_page_35_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_16.jpeg)